Qualitative properties of the non-linear flow in porous media and application in engineering

### Akif Ibragimov\*, Eugenio Aulisa, Lidia Bloshanskaya, and Luan Hoang



Texas Tech University

Advances in Mathematical Fluids Mechanics, Lisbon, June 30- July 6, 2014

### **Porous Media**



### **Porous Media**











#### **Intended Application - Geoscience**



CROSS SECTION OF EARTH SHOWING PARTS OF AN AQUIFER





# The Beginnings: Darcy's Law

### Henry Darcy's experiments (1856)



Cross section of the Paris Basin



#### Grenelle artesian well in Paris

R. Ritzi, P. Bobeck, Comprehensive principles of quantative hydrogeology established by Darcy and Dupuit, Water Resources Research, V 44, W10402, 2008

### Darcy's Law, 1856

Homogeneous, 1D, single-phase flow:



$$\begin{array}{c|c} & L \\ \hline \\ z & 1 \\ \hline \\ x \\ \end{array}$$

Q Α

k  $\mu$ 

р

$$p_2 - p_1$$
 – pressure drop

$$u=-rac{k}{\mu}
abla p$$

 $u = rac{Q}{\phi}$  - pore velocity (through pores)  $\phi$  - porosity of porous media ( $\phi = V_e/V_t$ ) pressure function

#### About Darcy's Law

• Darcy's Law:  $u = -\alpha \nabla p$  (hydrogeology)

- Fick's Law:  $J = -D\nabla\phi$  (population dynamics) J - diffusion flux; D - diffusivity;  $\phi$  - concentration
- Fourier's Law: q = −K∇T (heat conduction) q - local heat flux; K - material conductivity; T - temperature
- Ohm's Law: J = σE (electrodynamics)
   J current density; σ conductivity; E electric field

Homogenization of Stokes equations in inhomogeneous domain

• The Darcy's Law

$$u = -\frac{k}{\mu} \nabla p$$

• The Darcy's Law 
$$u = -\frac{k}{\mu} \nabla p$$

• The continuity equation (conservation law)

$$\phi(x)\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho u) \qquad \qquad \rho(p,t) \quad - \text{ density}$$

• The Darcy's Law 
$$u = -\frac{k}{\mu} \nabla p$$

• The continuity equation (conservation law)

$$\phi(x)\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho u) \qquad \qquad \rho(p,t) \quad - \text{ density}$$

• The equation of state for slightly compressible fluids [Bear, Muskat]

$$rac{\partial 
ho}{\partial m{p}} = \gamma 
ho \qquad ext{or} \qquad 
ho(m{p}) = 
ho_0 e^{\gamma m{p}} \qquad \left[ \gamma \sim 10^{-8} 
ight]$$

• The Darcy's Law 
$$u = -\frac{\kappa}{\mu} \nabla p$$

• The continuity equation (conservation law)

$$\phi(x)\frac{\partial 
ho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (
ho u) \qquad \qquad 
ho(p,t) \quad - \text{ density}$$

• The equation of state for slightly compressible fluids [Bear, Muskat]  $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} = \gamma \rho \qquad \text{or} \qquad \rho(p) = \rho_0 e^{\gamma p} \qquad \left[\gamma \sim 10^{-8}\right]$ 

Obtain

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{k}{\mu} \nabla \boldsymbol{p} \right) + \gamma \cdot \frac{k}{\mu} |\nabla \boldsymbol{p}|^2 \right]}$$

• The Darcy's Law 
$$u = -\frac{k}{\mu} \nabla p$$

• The continuity equation (conservation law)

$$\phi(x)\frac{\partial 
ho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (
ho u) \qquad 
ho(p,t)$$
 - density

• The equation of state for slightly compressible fluids [Bear, Muskat]

$$rac{\partial 
ho}{\partial m{p}} = \gamma 
ho \qquad ext{or} \qquad 
ho(m{p}) = 
ho_0 e^{\gamma m{p}} \qquad \left[ \gamma \sim 10^{-8} 
ight]$$

Obtain

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{k}{\mu} \nabla p\right) + \frac{k}{\mu} \nabla p|^2$$

## Nonlinearity of the Flow

### Range of validity of Darcy law

Darcy: "below a certain velocity threshold, the pressure drop is linearly proportional to velocity, and above that threshold, the pressure drop is proportional to velocity squared"



$$-\Delta P = \alpha q$$
 and  $-\Delta P = \alpha q + \beta q^2$ 

 $\frac{Reynolds \ number}{Re} = \frac{\text{inertial forces}}{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

viscous forces

M. Muskat, Flow of Homogeneous Fluids Through Porous Media, Springer, 1982
R. Ritzi, P. Bobeck, Comprehensive principles of quantitative hydrogeology established by Darcy and Dupuit, Water Resources Research, V 44, W10402, 2008

### Various factors contributing to nonlinearity



- inertial forces
- high velocity in fractures and near wells
- friction in a pipe
- high viscosity of the fluid
- not clear

J. Bear, Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media, Dover, 1988

#### **Experimental observations - Philipp Forchheimer**

 $\Delta P = p_2 - p_1$  - pressure difference; q - rate of flow

- Darcy's law (1856):  $-\Delta P = \alpha q$
- Forchheimer "two terms" law (1901):  $-\Delta P = \alpha q + \beta q^2$
- Forchheimer "three terms" law (1901):  $-\Delta P = Aq + Bq^2 + Cq^3$
- Forchheimer "power" law (1930):  $-\Delta P = \alpha q + \beta q^m$  $1.6 \le m \le 2$

P. Forchheimer, Wasserbewegung durch Boden Zeit, 45, 1782, Ver. Deut. Ing., 1901

#### Recent advances on nonlinearity of the flow

- Even for low *Re* it is possible  $|\nabla p| \sim |u|^3$ (Balhoff&Wheeler, 2010; Wodie&Levy, 1991; Auriault, 1991)
- In a presence of fractures Darcy's law is not valid even for  $Re \approx 1$  (Tavera et al, 2006)
- Forchheimer law needs correction (Marušić-Paloka&Mikelić, 2000)
- Generalized Forchheimer equation (Aulisa, Bloshanskaya, Hoang, Ibragimov, 2009)

$$g(|\mathbf{u}|)\mathbf{u} = -\nabla p$$

Function g(s) is a GPPC:

$$g(s) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j(x) s^{\alpha_j} = \overbrace{a_0}^{>0} + \overbrace{a_1}^{\geq 0} s^{\alpha_1} + \overbrace{a_2}^{\geq 0} s^{\alpha_2} + \ldots + \overbrace{a_k}^{\geq 0} s^{\alpha_k}$$

$$g(|\mathbf{u}|)\mathbf{u} = -\nabla p$$

Function g(s) is a GPPC:

$$g(s) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j(x) s^{\alpha_j} = \overbrace{a_0}^{>0} + \overbrace{a_1}^{\geq 0} s^{\alpha_1} + \overbrace{a_2}^{\geq 0} s^{\alpha_2} + \ldots + \overbrace{a_k}^{\geq 0} s^{\alpha_k}$$

• Darcy:  $\alpha u = -\nabla p$ :  $a_0 = \alpha, a_j = 0, j \ge 1$ 

- 2Forch:  $(\alpha + \beta | u |)u = -\nabla p$ :  $a_0 = \alpha, a_1 = \beta, \alpha_1 = 1; a_j = 0, j \ge 2$
- Power:  $(\alpha + \beta |u|^{m-1})u = -\nabla p$ :  $a_0 = \alpha, a_1 = \beta, \alpha_1 = m - 1, a_j = 0, j \ge 2$

### Two equivalent forms of g-Forchheimer equation

 $\Leftrightarrow$ 

$$g(|u|)u = -\nabla p$$

g-Forchheimer eq.

 $u = -K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p$ <br/>generalized (nonlinear) Darcy eq.

Aulisa, Ibragimov, Valko, Walton Mathematical framework ... for Forchheimer flows in porous media, WSPC, 2008 Aulisa, Bloshanskaya, Hoang, Ibragimov, Analysis of generalized Forchheimer flows of compressible fluids in porous media, J. Math. Phys. 50, 103102 (2009), 44 pp

### Two equivalent forms of g-Forchheimer equation

$$g(|u|)u = -\nabla p \qquad \iff \qquad u = -K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p$$
  
g-Forchheimer eq. generalized (nonlinear) Darcy eq.

- In general  $K(\xi)$  is not analytic
- For Forchheimer two-terms law:  $\alpha u + \beta |u|u = -\nabla p$

$$\mathcal{K}(|\nabla \boldsymbol{p}|) = \frac{2}{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4\beta |\nabla \boldsymbol{p}|}}$$

Aulisa, Ibragimov, Valko, Walton Mathematical framework ... for Forchheimer flows in porous media, WSPC, 2008 Aulisa, Bloshanskaya, Hoang, Ibragimov, Analysis of generalized Forchheimer flows of compressible fluids in porous media, J. Math. Phys. 50, 103102 (2009), 44 pp

### Features of nonlinear permeability $K(|\nabla p|)$

• Degeneracy similar to *p*-Laplace equations

$$rac{\mathcal{C}_1}{(1+\xi)^a} \leq rac{\mathcal{K}(\xi)}{(1+\xi)^a}$$

where 
$$a = a(deg(g)) < 1$$

• Strict weighted monotonicity:

$$\begin{split} \int_{U} (\mathcal{K}(|\nabla u_{1}|)\nabla u_{1} - \mathcal{K}(|\nabla u_{1}|)\nabla u_{1}) \cdot \nabla(u_{1} - u_{2}) \, dx \geq C_{\Phi} \|\nabla(u_{1} - u_{2})\|_{H}^{2} \\ C_{\Phi} &= C_{0}(1 + \max(\|\nabla u_{1}\|_{L^{2-a}}, \|\nabla u_{1}\|_{L^{2-a}}))^{-a} \end{split}$$

• The Darey's Law Nonlinear Darcy eq. 
$$u = -K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p$$

- The continuity equation (conservation law)  $\phi(x)\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho u)$
- The equation of state

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} = \gamma \rho$$

• The Darcy's Law Nonlinear Darcy eq. 
$$u = -K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p$$

• The continuity equation (conservation law)  $\phi(x)\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho u)$ 

• The equation of state

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} = \gamma \rho$$

Obtain

$$\gamma \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{K}(|\nabla p|) \nabla p)$$

# IBVP( Prescribed Pointwise Flux or Pressure on the Boundary)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} &= \nabla \cdot (K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p) \text{ in } U\\ \text{on } \Gamma_i \\ &- K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p \cdot N = q(x, t) \text{ Neumann BC}\\ \text{or}\\ p(x, t) &= \Psi(x, t) \text{ Dirichlet BC}\\ &\left. \frac{\partial p}{\partial N} \right|_{\Gamma_e} = 0 \text{ Non-flow BC}\\ p(x, 0) &= p_0(x) \end{aligned}$$



In case of Dirchlet we are interesting in the BD which growing to  $\infty$  at time-infinity. It is expected that if  $\Psi(x, t)$  is stabilizing to "regular function" problem is reducing to Darcy case.

### **Diffusive Capacity/Productivity Index**

Engineering parameters of well capacity *Productivity Index (PI) at time of observation* 

$$PI = \frac{Hydrocarbon Production}{Reservoir Pressure - Well Pressure}$$

Averages over the domain and on the boundary

$$\overline{p}_{U}(t) = \frac{1}{|U|} \int_{U} p(x,t) dx \qquad \overline{p}_{\Gamma_{i}}(t) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{i}|} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} p(x,t) ds$$

$$PDD(t) = \overline{p}_{U}(t) - \overline{p}_{\Gamma_{i}}(t) \qquad pressure \ drawdown \ \text{in the domain } U$$

$$Q(t) = \int_{\Gamma_{i}} u \cdot N \, ds \qquad total \ flux \ \text{through } \Gamma_{i}$$

$$J_g(\Gamma_i)(t) = \frac{Q(t)}{PDD(t)} \qquad \left(PI = \frac{d}{dt} \ln(\int p dx)\right)$$

Important feature of diffusive capacity: in case of PSS regime  $J_g(\Gamma_i)(t)$  is time invariant, a.e. PI is constant and for this reason is used by engineers to evaluate well capacity

#### Akif Ibragimov

Diffusive Capacity

### Time Independent Diffusive Capacity/Productivity Index, Linear Darcy Case

*Productivity Index (PI) in long time observation* Prescribed constant pressure on the well

$$\mathsf{PI}_1 = \lambda |U|$$

Prescribed constant rate

$$\mathsf{PI}_2 = \frac{|U|}{\int W(x)dx}$$

Here  $\lambda$  first eigenvalue for Laplace equation with corresponding boundary conditions, and

$$-A = rac{Q_s}{|U|} = \Delta W, \quad W(x)|_{\Gamma_i} = 0, \quad rac{\partial W}{\partial N}\Big|_{\Gamma_e} = 0$$

Mathematical inequality (originally observed by engineers)

$$PI_2 > PI_1$$

A.I., D. Khalmanova, P.Valko, J.Walton, 2005, SIAM, JAM,, 65(6).

### **IBVP-I**(Given Total Flux on the Boundary)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} &= \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{K}(|\nabla p|)\nabla p) \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_i} \mathcal{K}(|\nabla p|)\nabla p \cdot N \, ds = Q(t) \\ &\left. \frac{\partial p}{\partial N} \right|_{\Gamma_e} = 0 \\ p(x,0) &= p_0(x) \end{aligned}$$



No uniqueness  $\implies$  additional constraints on the boundary data

#### **Constraint on the Boundary Data**

Solution p(x, t) of IBVP-I:

Define trace  $p(x,t)|_{\Gamma_i} = \psi_0(x,t) = \gamma(t) + \psi(x,t)$ 

Assume

$$\int_{\Gamma_i} \psi(x,t) \, ds = 0,$$

#### **Uniqueness:**

If  $p_1(x, t)$  and  $p_2(x, t)$  are solut-s of IBVP-I with the same  $\psi(x, t)$ , then  $p_1(x, t) = p_2(x, t)$ 

### **PSS** Profile

Our intention is qualitative analysis of the solution of IBVP-I in case when total flux  $Q(t) \rightarrow Q_s \equiv const$ :

PSS regime characterizes by two parameters on the boundary:

I. Profile of the pressure  $\varphi_0(x)$ , and II. Total flux  $Q_s$ .

These two parameters defines the PSS profile/regime:

$$p_s(x,t) = -\frac{Q_s}{|U|}t + W(x)$$

where *basic profile* W(x) is a solution of BVP

$$-A = \frac{Q_s}{|U|} = \nabla \cdot (K(|\nabla W|)\nabla W)$$
$$W(x)|_{\Gamma_i} = \varphi_0(x)$$
$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial N}\Big|_{\Gamma_e} = 0$$

for known function  $\varphi_0(x)$ 

$$egin{aligned} Q(t) & ext{vs} & Q_s \ and \ \psi(x,t) & ext{vs} & arphi(x) = arphi_0(x) - rac{1}{|\Gamma_i|} \int_{\Gamma_i} arphi_0(s) \, ds \end{aligned}$$

Extensions  $\Psi(x, t)$ ,  $\Phi(x)$  defined on the whole domain U such that:

$$egin{aligned} \Psi(x,t)|_{\Gamma_i} &= \psi(x,t) & \Phi(x)|_{\Gamma_i} &= arphi(x) \ \Psi(x,t), \Psi_t(x,t), \Phi(x) &\in W_2^1(U) \end{aligned}$$

**!!!** No conditions on the average

$$\gamma(t) = rac{1}{|\Gamma_i|} \int_{\Gamma_i} \psi_0(x,t) \, ds$$

Results are obtained under some constraints on two parameters

$$\Delta_Q(t) = Q(t) - Q_s \qquad \Delta_\Psi(x,t) = \Psi(x,t) - \Phi(x)$$

#### Aim:

the comparison of fully transient solution p(x, t) with PSS solution  $p_s(x, t)$  in terms of Diffusive Capacity  $J_g(t)$ 

### Main result:

$$J_g(t) - J_{g,PSS} 
ightarrow 0$$
 as  $t 
ightarrow \infty$ 

under certain assumptions on the differences in boundary data

$$\Delta_Q(t)$$
 and  $\Delta_{\Psi}(x,t)$ 

Long term dynamics of the characteristics of the solution as  $t 
ightarrow \infty$ 

- $\int_{U} |p|^{\alpha} dx \xrightarrow{?}$   $\int_{U} |p_{t}|^{2} dx \xrightarrow{?}$

$$\int_{U} |\nabla(p)|^{2-a} dx \xrightarrow{?}$$

#### The difference between transient and PSS PI's

$$J_g(t) - J_{g,PSS} o 0$$
 if  $\|
abla(p-p_s)\|_{L^{2-a}} o 0$  and  $\Delta_Q(t) o 0$  (1)

Proof: Observe

$$\Delta_{p}(t) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{i}|} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} (p - p_{s}) ds - \frac{1}{|U|} \int_{U} (p - p_{s}) dx = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{i}|} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \widetilde{z} ds,$$

where

$$\widetilde{z}(x,t)=p-p_s-\frac{1}{|U|}\int_U(p-p_s)\,dx$$

Applying Trace theorem and Poincaré inequality, as  $\int_U \tilde{z} dx = 0$ , one has

$$|\Delta_p(t)|^{2-a} \leq C \int_U |
abla(p-p_s)|^{2-a} dx.$$

#### Let

$$F_1(t) = -A_0 + rac{1}{|U|} \int_0^t \Delta_Q(\tau) \, d\tau + rac{1}{|U|} \int_U \Delta_\Psi \, dx.$$

Then

$$\|\nabla(p-p_s)\|_{L^{2-a}}\to 0$$

lf

#### Let

$$F_1(t) = -A_0 + rac{1}{|U|} \int_0^t \Delta_Q(\tau) \, d\tau + rac{1}{|U|} \int_U \Delta_\Psi \, dx.$$

Then

$$\|\nabla(p-p_s)\|_{L^{2-a}}\to 0$$

lf

#### Let

$$F_1(t) = -A_0 + rac{1}{|U|} \int_0^t \Delta_Q(\tau) \, d\tau + rac{1}{|U|} \int_U \Delta_\Psi \, dx.$$

Then

$$\|\nabla(p-p_s)\|_{L^{2-a}}\to 0$$

lf

#### Let

$$F_1(t) = -A_0 + rac{1}{|U|} \int_0^t \Delta_Q(\tau) \, d\tau + rac{1}{|U|} \int_U \Delta_\Psi \, dx.$$

Then

$$\|\nabla(p-p_s)\|_{L^{2-a}}\to 0$$

lf

• 
$$\int_U |p_t - p_{s,t}|^2 dx \to 0$$
 as  $t \to \infty$ 

#### Let

$$F_1(t) = -A_0 + \frac{1}{|U|} \int_0^t \Delta_Q(\tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{|U|} \int_U \Delta_\Psi dx.$$

Then

$$\|\nabla(p-p_s)\|_{L^{2-a}}\to 0$$

lf

• 
$$\int_U |p_t - p_{s,t}|^2 dx \to 0$$
 as  $t \to \infty$ 

• 
$$\int_{U} |p-p_s-B.C.|^2 dx \to 0$$
 as  $t \to \infty$ 

#### Theorem

#### If Q(t) and $\Psi(x, t)$ satisfy assumptions A4

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{U} |\overline{p}_t - \overline{p}_{s,t}|^2 \, dx = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{U} |\overline{p}_t + A|^2 \, dx = 0 \tag{3}$$

#### Proof.

Proof follows from the following differential inequality, which we obtained for the solution of our IBVP for the functional  $y(t) = \int_U |\overline{p}_t + A|^2 dx$ . If p(x, t) is a solution of IBVP with given total fluxes, then under constraint on structure of the trace on the boundary

$$y'(t) \leq -Cf(y(t)) + CA_3(t) \tag{4}$$

where

$$f(s) = s^{\theta} - \varepsilon_{p} s^{2\theta} - \varepsilon_{2} s \tag{9}$$

#### **Assumption 1:**

$$\begin{aligned} (|\Delta_Q(t)| + |Q'(t)|) \cdot \int_0^t |\Delta_Q(\tau)| \, d\tau + |(\Delta_Q(t))'| &\leq C \\ \int_U (|\Delta_\Psi| + |\nabla(\Delta_\Psi)|^{2-a} + |(\Delta_\Psi(x,t))_t|^b + |\nabla(\Delta_\Psi(x,t))_t|^2) \, dx &\leq C \end{aligned}$$

#### **Assumption 2:**

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} |\Delta_Q(t)| \cdot \int_0^t |\Delta_Q(\tau)| \, d\tau = 0$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_U (|\Delta_\Psi|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta_\Psi)|^2 \, dx + |(\Delta_\Psi(x, t))_t|^2) \, dx = 0$$

#### **Assumption 3:**

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\left[\int_U (|\nabla(\Delta_\Psi(x,t))_t|^2+|(\Delta_\Psi(x,t))_{tt}|^2)\,dx+(\Delta_Q(t))'\right]=0$$

#### What is Next?

1. Ideal Gas  $\rho = Mp$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} &= \nabla \cdot (K(p, |\nabla p|) p \nabla p) \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_i} K(|\nabla p|) p \nabla p \cdot N \, ds = Q(t) \\ &\frac{\partial p}{\partial N} \Big|_{\Gamma_e} = 0 \\ p(x, 0) &= p_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$PI = \frac{d}{dt} ln(\int p^2 dx)$$

2. Dynamic Boundary Conditions

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial N} = Ap_t + B\frac{p}{t} - q_0 t^{-a}$$

3. Fluctuations in boundary data, which naturally lead to equations of stochastic type

#### References

٩	E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskaya, L. Hoang, A. I. Ibragimov, Analysis of generalized Forchheimer
•	flows of compressible fluids in porous media, J. Math. Phys. 50, 103102 (2009), 44 pp E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskaya, A. I. Ibragimov, <i>Long-term dynamics for well productivity index</i>
	for non-linear flows in porous media, J. Math. Phys. 52 (2) (2011), 26 pp
٥	E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskaya, A. I. Ibragimov, Time asymptotic of non-Darcy flows controlled
	by total flux on the boundary, J. Math. Sciences 184 (4) (2012), pp. 399-430
0	E.Aulisa, A.Ibragimov, P.P.Valko, J.R.Walton. Mathematical Framework of the Well
	Productivity Index for fast Forchheimer (Non-Darcy) Flows in Porous Media. J. Math.
	Models and Methods in Appl. Sciences, Vol. 19(8), pp.1241-1275 (2009)
٥	L. Hoang, A. Ibragimov, T. Kieu, Z. Sobol Stability of solutions to generalized Forchheimer
	equations of any degree, submitted for publication. 50pp[IMA Preprint],
•	L. Hoang, A. Ibragimov Qualitative study of generalized Forchheimer flows with the flux
	boundary condition, Adv. Diff. Eq., Volume 17, Numbers 5-6, May/June 2012, 511-556
•	L. Hoang, A. Ibragimov Structural stability of generalized Forchheimer equations for
	compressible fluids in porous media, Nonlinearity, Volume 24, Number 1 / January 2011, 1-41.

# **Thank You!**