Categorical Parallel-Transport

Ambar N. Sengupta

Louisiana State University

Recent Advances in Topological Quantum Field Theory Lisbon, September 2012

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Abstract

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Abstract

There has been considerable interest and activity in the interface between geometry and category theory. In this talk we discuss the notions of categorical bundles and categorical connections on such bundles. We explore several examples, including a class of examples involving bundles of 'decorated' paths over spaces of paths.

This is joint work with Saikat Chatterjee and Amitabha Lahiri.

The motivation for this is to study a mathematical framework for gauge theories that involve two gauge groups.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

The motivation for this is to study a mathematical framework for gauge theories that involve two gauge groups.

Traditionally, a gauge field is a connection on a principal bundle $\pi: P \to M$ with some gauge group *G*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

The motivation for this is to study a mathematical framework for gauge theories that involve two gauge groups.

Traditionally, a gauge field is a connection on a principal bundle $\pi: P \to M$ with some gauge group *G*.

In 'higher' gauge theory one needs a framework with more structure to incorporate a second gauge group H that interacts with the first gauge group H.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

The motivation for this is to study a mathematical framework for gauge theories that involve two gauge groups.

Traditionally, a gauge field is a connection on a principal bundle $\pi: P \to M$ with some gauge group *G*.

In 'higher' gauge theory one needs a framework with more structure to incorporate a second gauge group H that interacts with the first gauge group H.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

In this talk I will describe one such framework.

The motivation for this is to study a mathematical framework for gauge theories that involve two gauge groups.

Traditionally, a gauge field is a connection on a principal bundle $\pi: P \to M$ with some gauge group *G*.

In 'higher' gauge theory one needs a framework with more structure to incorporate a second gauge group H that interacts with the first gauge group H.

In this talk I will describe one such framework.

Longer term goal: study stochastic parallel-transport in this framework.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Then we will look at the notion of *categorical principal bundle*, which is a geometric structure that involves two gauge groups.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Then we will look at the notion of *categorical principal bundle*, which is a geometric structure that involves two gauge groups. We will look at a central example: *decorated bundle*.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Then we will look at the notion of *categorical principal bundle*, which is a geometric structure that involves two gauge groups.

We will look at a central example: *decorated bundle*.

Following this I will define a *categorical connection* and give examples of such connections for decorated bundles.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

A categorical group is a category **G** for which both the object set Obj(G) and the morphism set Mor(G) are groups, such that the source and target maps

$$s, t : Mor(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow Obj(\mathbf{G})$$

are homomorphisms, and the identity-assigning map

$$\operatorname{Obj}(\mathbf{G}) \to \operatorname{Mor}(\mathbf{G}) : g \mapsto 1_g$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

is a homomorphism.

A categorical group **G** can be understood in terms of a semi-direct product of groups.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

A categorical group ${f G}$ can be understood in terms of a semi-direct product of groups. Associate to each morphism

 $f \in Mor(\mathbf{G})$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

its source $s(f) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{G})$

A categorical group **G** can be understood in terms of a semi-direct product of groups. Associate to each morphism

 $f \in Mor(\mathbf{G})$

its source $s(f) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{G})$ and *f* with its source shifted back to the identity:

$$f1_{s(f)^{-1}} \in \ker s \subset \operatorname{Mor}(\mathbf{G}).$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

A categorical group ${f G}$ can be understood in terms of a semi-direct product of groups. Associate to each morphism

 $f \in Mor(\mathbf{G})$

its source $s(f) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{G})$ and *f* with its source shifted back to the identity:

$$f1_{s(f)^{-1}} \in \ker s \subset \operatorname{Mor}(\mathbf{G}).$$

Thus we have the map

$$egin{aligned} \operatorname{Mor}(\mathbf{G}) &\simeq \operatorname{Obj}(\mathbf{G}) imes \ker s \ f &\mapsto \left(s(f), f \mathbf{1}_{s(f)^{-1}}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Conversely, we can reconstruct *f* from its source and $f1_{s(f)^{-1}}$:

$$f = f \mathbf{1}_{s(f)^{-1}} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{s(f)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

Conversely, we can reconstruct *f* from its source and $f1_{s(f)^{-1}}$:

$$f = f\mathbf{1}_{s(f)^{-1}} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{s(f)}$$

Thus,

$$Mor(\mathbf{G}) \simeq Obj(\mathbf{G}) \times \ker s$$
$$f \mapsto \left(s(f), f\mathbf{1}_{s(f)^{-1}}\right)$$
(2)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

is a bijection.

Transfering the product operation in the group Mor(G) to $Obj(G) \times ker s$ makes the latter into a semi-direct product

 $Mor(\mathbf{G}) \simeq Obj(\mathbf{G}) \rtimes_{\alpha} \ker s$,

for a suitable homomorhism

 $\alpha : \ker s \ toAut(Obj(\mathbf{G})).$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Categorical Groups and Crossed Modules

For a categorical group **G** let

$$G = \operatorname{Obj}(\mathbf{G})$$
 and $H = \ker s \subset \operatorname{Mor}(\mathbf{G})$. (3)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Then we have the homomorphism

$$\alpha: \mathbf{G} \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$$

given by

$$\alpha(g): h \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{g} h \mathbf{1}_{g^{-1}}$$

Categorical Groups and Crossed Modules

For a categorical group **G** let

$$G = \operatorname{Obj}(\mathbf{G})$$
 and $H = \ker s \subset \operatorname{Mor}(\mathbf{G})$. (3)

Then we have the homomorphism

$$\alpha: \mathbf{G} \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$$

given by

$$\alpha(g):h\mapsto 1_{g}h1_{g^{-1}}$$

and the homomorphism

$$\tau: H \to G: h \mapsto t(h),$$

where t(h) is the target of $h \in Mor(\mathbf{G})$.

Categorical Lie Groups and Crossed Modules

The system

$$(\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{H},\alpha,\tau)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

is a *crossed module* and is a convenient avatar of the categorical group \mathbf{G} .

Categorical Lie Groups and Crossed Modules

The system

$$(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{H}, \alpha, \tau)$$

is a *crossed module* and is a convenient avatar of the categorical group \mathbf{G} .

If *G* and *H* are Lie groups, and the homomorphisms α and τ are smooth then **G** is a *categorical Lie group*.

Categorical Lie Groups and Crossed Modules

The system

$$(\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{H},\alpha,\tau)$$

is a *crossed module* and is a convenient avatar of the categorical group \mathbf{G} .

If *G* and *H* are Lie groups, and the homomorphisms α and τ are smooth then **G** is a *categorical Lie group*. Equivalently, $Obj(\mathbf{G})$ and $Mor(\mathbf{G})$ are Lie groups, the maps *s* and *t*, as well as $g \mapsto 1_g$, are smooth.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Actions of Categorical Groups

A right action of a categorical group ${\bf G}$ on a category ${\bf P}$ is a functor

$$\mathbf{P} imes \mathbf{G} o \mathbf{P}$$

that is a right action both at the level of objects and at the level of morphisms;

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Actions of Categorical Groups

A right action of a categorical group ${\bf G}$ on a category ${\bf P}$ is a functor

$$\mathbf{P} imes \mathbf{G} o \mathbf{P}$$

that is a right action both at the level of objects and at the level of morphisms; thus

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Obj}(\mathsf{P}) \times \operatorname{Obj}(\mathsf{G}) \to \operatorname{Obj}(\mathsf{P}) \\ \operatorname{Mor}(\mathsf{P}) \times \operatorname{Mor}(\mathsf{G}) \to \operatorname{Mor}(\mathsf{P}) \end{array} \tag{4}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

are right actions.

Categorical Principal Bundle

A categorical principal bundle is a functor

$$\mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$$
 (5)

along with a *right action* of a categorical group G on P:

$$\pi: \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{P}$$
 (a functor),

which is free both at the level of objects and at the level of morphisms, and the action maps each fiber transitively into itself (again both on objects and on morphisms).

Categorical Principal Bundle: note

Note that we are not including local triviality in this definition.



Pathspaces

For a space X we take

 $\mathbf{P}_1(X)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

to be the category whose

objects are the points of X and

Pathspaces

For a space X we take

 $\mathbf{P}_1(X)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

to be the category whose

- objects are the points of X and
- morphisms are suitably identified paths in X.

Pathspaces

For a space X we take

 $\mathbf{P}_1(X)$

to be the category whose

- objects are the points of X and
- morphisms are suitably identified paths in X.

Next,

$$\mathbf{P}_2(X) = \mathbf{P}_1\big(\mathbf{P}_1(X)\big),$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

a category whose objects are paths in X and morphisms are 'paths of paths' in X.

There are different choices available for formalizing these notions ...

Pathspaces with more precision

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let X be a manifold.

Pathspaces with more precision

Let X be a manifold. For any box

$$I = \prod_{j=1}^n [a_j, b_j] \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

identify any map

$$f: I \to X$$

with any translate of f:

$$I + v \rightarrow X : t \mapsto f(t - v).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Pathspaces with more precision

Let X be a manifold. For any box

$$I=\prod_{j=1}^n [a_j,b_j]\subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

identify any map

$$f: I \to X$$

with any translate of f:

$$I + v \rightarrow X : t \mapsto f(t - v).$$

Let

$Mor_n(X)$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

be the set of all C^{∞} maps $I \rightarrow X$, identified up to translates, that are 'appropriately constant' near ∂I .

Pathspace Categories

We take

$\mathbf{P}_n(X)$

to be the category whose object set is $Mor_n(X)$ and whose morphism set is $Mor_{n+1}(X)$, with source and target being given by

$$s(f)(p_1,...,p_n) = f(p_1,...,p_n,b_{n+1}) t(f)(p_1,...,p_n) = f(p_1,...,p_n,a_{n+1})$$
(6)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

We define composition in the natural way. (This can also be done 'sideways'.)
Let

$$P \rightarrow M$$

be a principal G-bundle.



Let

be a principal *G*-bundle. Let **P** be the category $P_1(P)$ and **B** the category $P_1(M)$. Then we have a natural projection functor

 $\mathbf{P}_1(P) \to \mathbf{P}_1(M).$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Let

$$P \rightarrow M$$

be a principal *G*-bundle. Let **P** be the category $P_1(P)$ and **B** the category $P_1(M)$. Then we have a natural projection functor

$$\mathbf{P}_1(P) \to \mathbf{P}_1(M).$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

However, there is no convenient structure group for this.

Instead now take ${\bf P}$ to have objects the points of ${\it P}$ and morphisms of the form

 $(\gamma, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}),$

where

$$\gamma \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathbf{P}_1(M))$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

with $p \in P$ being the point on P above the source of γ , and q above the target of γ .

Instead now take ${\bf P}$ to have objects the points of ${\it P}$ and morphisms of the form

 $(\gamma, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}),$

where

 $\gamma \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathbf{P}_1(M))$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

with $p \in P$ being the point on *P* above the source of γ , and *q* above the target of γ . This gives us a categorical principal

bundle with structure group \mathbf{G}_o , whose object set is *G* and whose morphisms are $g_1 \rightarrow g_2$ for all $g_1, g_1 \in G$.

Let

$$\pi: \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{M}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

be a traditional principal G-bundle with a connection form \overline{A} .

Let

$$\pi: \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{M}$$

be a traditional principal *G*-bundle with a connection form \overline{A} . We take the base category to be

$$\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{P}_1(M).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Now let

$\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)$

be the category whose objects are the points of P and whose morphisms are \overline{A} -horizontal paths in P.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Now let

 ${\bf P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)$

be the category whose objects are the points of *P* and whose morphisms are \overline{A} -horizontal paths in *P*. (Thus it is a subcategory of **P**₁(*P*).)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Now let

be the category whose objects are the points of P and whose morphisms are \overline{A} -horizontal paths in P. (Thus it is a subcategory of $P_1(P)$.)

 $\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\overline{A}}(P)$

Then the discrete categorical group \mathbf{G}_d , whose object set is *G*, acts on the right on $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)$ and then

$$\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P) o \mathbf{P}_1(M)$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

is a principal categorical bundle with structure group \mathbf{G}_d .

The Structure Group

So far we have seen



The Structure Group

So far we have seen

► a categorical principal bundle with structure group is **G**_o;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

The Structure Group

So far we have seen

- ► a categorical principal bundle with structure group is **G**_o;
- ▶ a categorical principal bundle with structure group is **G**_d.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

We now describe a categorical bundle in which the objects of P are 'decorated' paths in P, and this takes us to general structure groups.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

We now describe a categorical bundle in which the objects of P are 'decorated' paths in P, and this takes us to general structure groups.

Let

$$\pi: \boldsymbol{P} \to \boldsymbol{M}$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

be a principal *G*-bundle with a connection form \overline{A} .

We now describe a categorical bundle in which the objects of P are 'decorated' paths in P, and this takes us to general structure groups.

Let

$$\pi: \boldsymbol{P} \to \boldsymbol{M}$$

be a principal *G*-bundle with a connection form \overline{A} .

Now consider a categorical group G for which

$$Obj(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{G}$$
, and ker $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{H}$,

where H is a second Lie group. Thus, as before,

$$Mor(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{G} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{H}.$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

For \mathbf{P} we take the category

 $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\mathrm{dec}}$

objects again just the points of *P*:

 $Obj(\mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{P}$



For **P** we take the category

 $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\mathrm{dec}}$

objects again just the points of P:

 $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathbf{P})=\mathbf{P}$

and the morphisms are the pairs

$$(\tilde{\gamma}, h) \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\overline{A}}(\mathbf{P})) \times H,$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

where $\tilde{\gamma}$ comes from any \overline{A} -horizontal path in P and h is any element of H.

Decorated Bundle: Source and Target

We define source and targets for $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\text{dec}}$ by

$$s(\tilde{\gamma}, h) = s(\tilde{\gamma})$$

$$t(\tilde{\gamma}, h) = t(\tilde{\gamma})\tau(h)^{-1}$$
(7)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

where $\tau(h) = t(h) \in G$ is the target of $h \in H \subset Mor(\mathbf{G})$.

Decorated Bundle: Source and Target

We define source and targets for $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\text{dec}}$ by

$$s(\tilde{\gamma}, h) = s(\tilde{\gamma})$$

$$t(\tilde{\gamma}, h) = t(\tilde{\gamma})\tau(h)^{-1}$$
(7)

where $\tau(h) = t(h) \in G$ is the target of $h \in H \subset Mor(\mathbf{G})$. The identity morphism at *p* is given by the pairing of the constant path at *p* with the identity element of *H*.

Decorated Bundle: Composition

We define composition in $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\text{dec}}$ by

$$(\tilde{\gamma}_2, h_2) \circ (\tilde{\gamma}_1, h_1) = (\tilde{\gamma}_2 \mathbf{1}_{\tau(h_1)} \circ \tilde{\gamma}_1, h_2 h_1)$$
(8)

On the right side the first term has an adjustment factor needed to make the composition of the paths meaningful.

Decorated Bundle: Composition

We define composition in $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\text{dec}}$ by

$$(\tilde{\gamma}_2, h_2) \circ (\tilde{\gamma}_1, h_1) = (\tilde{\gamma}_2 \mathbf{1}_{\tau(h_1)} \circ \tilde{\gamma}_1, h_2 h_1)$$
(8)

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

On the right side the first term has an adjustment factor needed to make the composition of the paths meaningful.

Theorem $\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\text{dec}}$ is a category.

Now we specify a right action of the categorical group ${\bf G}$ on the category

 $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\mathrm{dec}}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

Now we specify a right action of the categorical group ${\bf G}$ on the category

 $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\mathrm{dec}}.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

On objects it is simply the right action of P on G.

Now we specify a right action of the categorical group ${\bf G}$ on the category

 $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\mathrm{dec}}$.

On objects it is simply the right action of P on G. On

morphisms we define

$$(\tilde{\gamma}, h) \cdot (g_1, h_1) = \left(\tilde{\gamma}g_1, \alpha(g_1^{-1})(h_1^{-1}h)\right), \tag{9}$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

where, on the right, $\tilde{\gamma}g_1$ is the usual right-translate of $\tilde{\gamma}$ by g_1 .

Now we specify a right action of the categorical group ${\bf G}$ on the category

 $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\mathrm{dec}}$.

On objects it is simply the right action of P on G. On

morphisms we define

$$(\tilde{\gamma}, h) \cdot (g_1, h_1) = \left(\tilde{\gamma}g_1, \alpha(g_1^{-1})(h_1^{-1}h)\right), \tag{9}$$

where, on the right, $\tilde{\gamma}g_1$ is the usual right-translate of $\tilde{\gamma}$ by g_1 .

Theorem

The formula (9) along with the given right action of G on P form a right action of **G** on $\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\text{dec}}$.

The Decorated Principal Bundle

With all these observations in place we can state our first main result:

Theorem

If \overline{A} is a connection on a principal G-bundle $\pi : P \to M$ and if **G** is a categorical Lie group with associated Lie grossed module (G, H, α, τ) then the construction above gives a principal categorical bundle

$$\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\operatorname{dec}} \to \mathbf{P}_1(M)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

with structure categorical group G.

The Decorated Principal Bundle: A Comment

A natural thought (brought up by D. Wise during the presentation) is that the decorated bundle is the associated bundle for some categorical principal bundle that only involves the group *G*.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

The Decorated Principal Bundle: A Comment

A natural thought (brought up by D. Wise during the presentation) is that the decorated bundle is the associated bundle for some categorical principal bundle that only involves the group *G*.

However, the source and target maps, as well as the composition law, in the category $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)$ involve the group H, which makes it less likely that it is an associated bundle in a way that would truly separate the roles of H and G.

The Decorated Principal Bundle: A Comment

A natural thought (brought up by D. Wise during the presentation) is that the decorated bundle is the associated bundle for some categorical principal bundle that only involves the group *G*.

However, the source and target maps, as well as the composition law, in the category $\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)$ involve the group H, which makes it less likely that it is an associated bundle in a way that would truly separate the roles of H and G.

Moreover, the categorical connections we explore below are *not* obtained using only a categorical connection on some principal bundle involving only *G*.

Categorical Connection

By a *categorical connection* ω on $\pi : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{B}$ we mean a rule that associates to each morphism

 $\gamma: x \to y$ in Mor(**P**)

and each $u \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ a unique morphism, the *horizontal lift*,

 $\tilde{\gamma}_{u}^{\omega} \in \operatorname{Mor}(\mathbf{P})$

with source *u*, such that:

(i)

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{ug}^{\omega} = \tilde{\gamma}_{u}^{\omega} g$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Categorical Connection

By a *categorical connection* ω on $\pi : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{B}$ we mean a rule that associates to each morphism

 $\gamma: x \to y$ in Mor(**P**)

and each $u \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ a unique morphism, the *horizontal lift*,

 $\tilde{\gamma}_{u}^{\omega} \in \operatorname{Mor}(\mathbf{P})$

with source *u*, such that:

(i)

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{ug}^{\omega} = \tilde{\gamma}_{u}^{\omega} g$$

(ii) the horizontal lift of a composite $\delta \circ \gamma$ is the composite of horizontal lifts (with appropriate sources/initial-points).

Traditional Connections as Categorical Connections

Recall that for a traditional principal *G*-bundle $\pi : P \to M$ we have the categorical principal bundle

$$\mathbf{P}
ightarrow \mathbf{P}_1(M)$$

where the objects of **P** are the points of *P* and a morphism $f: p \rightarrow q$ of **P** is a triple

 $(\gamma, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}),$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

where $\gamma \in \mathbf{P}_1(M)$, and $p, q \in P$ lie above $s(\gamma)$ and $t(\gamma)$, respectively.

Traditional Connections as Categorical Connections

A traditional connection on $\pi : P \to M$ provides a horizontal lift path on *P* initiating at *p*; denoting its final point by $\tau_{\gamma}(p)$ we take the "categorical" horizontal lift of γ to be

$$(\gamma, \boldsymbol{p}, \underbrace{\tau_{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{p})}_{\text{p.t. of p along }\gamma})$$

▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲目 ト ▲目 ト ● ● ●

Traditional Connections as Categorical Connections

A traditional connection on $\pi : P \to M$ provides a horizontal lift path on P initiating at p; denoting its final point by $\tau_{\gamma}(p)$ we take the "categorical" horizontal lift of γ to be

$$(\gamma, \boldsymbol{p}, \underbrace{\tau_{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{p})}_{\text{p.t. of p along }\gamma})$$

Thus a traditional connection on $\pi : P \to M$ gives a categorical connection.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Categorical Connections on Decorated Bundles

Let \overline{A} be a connection form on a traditional principal *G*-bundle $\pi : P \to M$, and **G** a categorical Lie group with associated Lie crossed module (*G*, *H*, ...).

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)
Categorical Connections on Decorated Bundles

Let \overline{A} be a connection form on a traditional principal *G*-bundle $\pi : P \to M$, and **G** a categorical Lie group with associated Lie crossed module (*G*, *H*, ...).

If we are given

an H-valued 1-form C on P

with a suitable equivariance property then we obtain

$$h^* : \operatorname{Mor}(\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(\mathbf{P})) \to H : \tilde{\gamma} \mapsto \operatorname{``PathOrdered} \exp(-\int_{\tilde{\gamma}} C)$$
''

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Categorical Connections on Decorated Bundles

Now let us define the horizontal lift map

$$Mor(\mathbf{B}) \to Mor\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\overline{A}}(\mathbf{P})^{dec}\right)$$

$$\gamma \mapsto \left(\tilde{\gamma}_{u}, h^{*}(\tilde{\gamma}_{u})\right)$$
(10)

where $\tilde{\gamma}_u$ is the \overline{A} -horizontal lift of γ with initial point $u \in P$.

Categorical Connections on Decorated Bundles

Now let us define the horizontal lift map

$$Mor(\mathbf{B}) \to Mor\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\overline{A}}(\mathbf{P})^{dec}\right)$$

$$\gamma \mapsto \left(\tilde{\gamma}_{u}, h^{*}(\tilde{\gamma}_{u})\right)$$
(10)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

where $\tilde{\gamma}_u$ is the \overline{A} -horizontal lift of γ with initial point $u \in P$.

Theorem

The horizontal lifting procedure (10) is a categorical connection on the categorical bundle

$$\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\mathrm{dec}} \to \mathbf{P}_1(M).$$

As usual we start with a traditional connection form \overline{A} on a traditional principal *G*-bundle $\pi : P \to M$, and a Lie crossed module

 $(\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{H},\alpha,\tau),$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

corresponding to a categorical Lie group G_1 .

Using a second connection form A on P and an Lie(H)-valued 2-form B on P (with suitable properties) we have the 1-form on the space of paths on P given by

$$\omega_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(t_0)) + \tau \left[\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\gamma}'(t), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(t)) dt \right]$$
(11)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

where \tilde{v} is a vector field along the path $\tilde{\gamma} : [t_0, t_1] \to P$.

Using a second connection form A on P and an Lie(H)-valued 2-form B on P (with suitable properties) we have the 1-form on the space of paths on P given by

$$\omega_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(t_0)) + \tau \left[\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\gamma}'(t), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(t)) dt \right]$$
(11)

where \tilde{v} is a vector field along the path $\tilde{\gamma} : [t_0, t_1] \to P$.

This form specifies a connection on the bundle

$$\mathbf{P}_{2}^{\overline{A}}(P) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{2}(M),$$

where on the left we only take \overline{A} -horizontal paths on P as objects, and the structure categorical group is discrete with object set G.

Now suppose

G_2

is a categorical group whose object group is the morphism group of \mathbf{G}_1 :

 $Obj(\mathbf{G}_2) = Mor(\mathbf{G}_1),$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

and let K be the subgroup of $Mor(\mathbf{G}_2)$ consisting of the morphisms with source being the identity.

Now suppose

G_2

is a categorical group whose object group is the morphism group of \mathbf{G}_1 :

$$\operatorname{Obj}(\mathbf{G}_2) = \operatorname{Mor}(\mathbf{G}_1),$$

and let K be the subgroup of $Mor(\mathbf{G}_2)$ consisting of the morphisms with source being the identity.

Using the forms \overline{A} , A, and B on P, we can construct a doubly decorated principal bundle

$$\mathbf{P}_2(P)^{\mathrm{dec,dec}} \to \mathbf{P}_2(M)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

with structure categorical group G_2 .

The categorical bundle

$$\mathsf{P}_2(P)^{\mathrm{dec},\mathrm{dec}} o \mathsf{P}_2(M)$$

bears a nice relationship to

$$\mathbf{P}_1^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\operatorname{dec}} \to \mathbf{P}_1(M).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

The categorical bundle

$$\mathsf{P}_2(P)^{\mathrm{dec},\mathrm{dec}} o \mathsf{P}_2(M)$$

 $-\overline{A}$ (-) doe

bears a nice relationship to

$$\mathbf{P}_{1}^{A}(P)^{\text{dec}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{1}(M).$$

$$Obj(\mathbf{P}_{2}(M)) = Mor(\mathbf{P}_{1}(M))$$

$$Obj(\mathbf{G}_{2}) = Mor(\mathbf{G}_{1})$$
(12)

$$Obj(\mathbf{P}_{2}(P)^{\text{dec},\text{dec}}) = Mor(\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\text{dec}})$$

▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ④ < @

The categorical bundle

$$\mathsf{P}_2(P)^{\mathrm{dec},\mathrm{dec}} o \mathsf{P}_2(M)$$

bears a nice relationship to

$$\mathbf{P}_{1}^{A}(P)^{\text{dec}} \to \mathbf{P}_{1}(M).$$

$$\text{Obj}(\mathbf{P}_{2}(M)) = \text{Mor}(\mathbf{P}_{1}(M))$$

$$\text{Obj}(\mathbf{P}_{2}(P)^{\text{dec},\text{dec}}) = \text{Mor}(\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\text{dec}})$$
(12)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

The categorical bundle

$$\mathsf{P}_2(P)^{\mathrm{dec},\mathrm{dec}} o \mathsf{P}_2(M)$$

 $-\overline{A}$ (-) doe

bears a nice relationship to

$$\mathbf{P}_{1}^{A}(P)^{\text{dec}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{1}(M).$$

$$Obj(\mathbf{P}_{2}(M)) = Mor(\mathbf{P}_{1}(M))$$

$$Obj(\mathbf{G}_{2}) = Mor(\mathbf{G}_{1})$$
(12)

$$Obj(\mathbf{P}_{2}(P)^{\text{dec},\text{dec}}) = Mor(\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\overline{A}}(P)^{\text{dec}})$$

▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ④ < @

Using a Lie(K)-valued 2-form C_2 on P, we can construct a categorical connection on

$$\mathbf{P}_2(P)^{\mathrm{dec,dec}} \to \mathbf{P}_2(M)$$

by applying the same general procedure that was used for $\mathbf{P}_{\overline{a}}^{\text{dec}}$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Some comparisons

The approach to categorical bundles and connections we have presented here differs from other approaches:

- Our base and bundle categories do not have any smooth or even topological structures.
- We do not use any trivializations.
- Our connections are defined through parallel-transport and not through forms. Of course, suitable forms of various degrees would give rise to connections in our sense.
- We do not impose any flatness condition in the definition of the categorical connection. There might be several different such conditions of interest.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Link to paper

This talk is based on the paper

http://arxiv.org/pdf/1207.5488.pdf
with a more frequently updated version at
https://www.math.lsu.edu/~sengupta/papers/
CLS2geom.pdf

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

References (in roughly random order)

- João Faria Martins and Roger Picken, *On two-Dimensional Holonomy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), no. 11, 5657-5695, http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.4310
- João Faria Martins and Roger Picken, Surface holonomy for non-abelian 2-bundles via double groupoids, Advances in Mathematics 226 (2011), no. 4, 3309-3366.
- Hossein Abbaspour, Friedrich Wagemann, On 2-Holonomy, (2012) http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.2292
- David Viennot, Non-abelian higher gauge theory and categorical bundle, (2012) http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.2280
- Urs Schreiber and Konrad Waldorf, *Parallel Transport and Functors.* http://arxiv.org/pdf/0705.0452v4.pdf
- John C. Baez and Derek K. Wise, *Teleparallel Gravity as a Higher Gauge Theory*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.4339